Class and Section: MIS4173 Date: 04/13/2022

## **Assignment: Sprint Review & Retrospective 3**

Team 10:

Wandaliz Baret Luna, Matthew Forbes, Darius Smith, Joshua Wrenn

SR&R 3 Documentation Grade: **92** SR&R 3 Presentation Grade: **90**

***Presentation graded with COB BCC Rubric by Richard O’Dor***

#### SR&R 3 – Overall

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Content  (Overall Project) | 4- Exceptional  3 -Good  2- Satisfactory  1- Poor  0 -Unacceptable | **Comments:**  You all did a good job on your sprint. Take my feedback and change what needs to be changed. If you need anything, let me know.  Dr. Kisling (04/13/2022) |

#### SR&R 3 – Documentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Overall Organization** |  |  |
| Title Page | **2** | 2 |
| Table of Contents | **2** | 2 |
| **SR&R 3 Required Documents** |  |  |
| **Project Documents New, Fixes, & Updates** |  |  |
| Previous Documentation Updates | **5** | 5 |
| Complete Data Flow Diagram Package | **20** | 15 |
| Navigation Diagram | **5** | 4 |
| Entity Relationship Diagrams | **10** | 10 |
| Program Plan showing logic and I/Os (IPO Chart with each program listed) | **10** | 9 |
| New Documentation | **5** | 5 |
| **Sprint Documents** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Daily SCRUM Meeting Log | **7** | 7 |
| Sprint 3 Team Evaluation Document | **7** | 7 |
| **Updated Project Plan** | **6** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 92 |

#### SR&R 3 – Presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Requirement** | **Points Possible** | **Points Earned** |
| **Presentation** |  |  |
| Overall Organization of Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| Introduction to Presentation | **5** | 5 |
| DFD Package | **30** | 23 |
| Initial ERD & IPO Chart | **15** | 13 |
| Project Updates on Previous/New Information/Documentation | **10** | 9 |
| **Sprint Items** |  |  |
| Updated Product Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Backlog | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Burn Down Chart | **5** | 5 |
| Sprint 3 Potentially Shippable Product Increment | **10** | 10 |
| **Discussion with Class** |  |  |
| Facilitation | **5** | 5 |
| Input from peers | **5** | 5 |
| **Total possible points** | **100** | 90 |

**Comments:**

DOCUMENTATION

* Your document is looking professional.
* See all comments about DFD, ERD, IPO, NAV below in the PRESENTATION section
* HW & SW Specifications are good
* Standard naming conventions are normally a table or a list
* Sprint items
  + Backlogs are fine
  + SCRUM meeting log is good—so you only met once as a team?
  + Burndown chart is good.
  + Sprint 3 Team Evaluation is good. Hopefully you all can have more time to work as a team
* Project plan needs to be updated to show all sprints on the timeline—if you need help doing that let me know
* If you need help with anything, please let me know. I am here to help you all be successful

PRESENTATION

* Your presentation was good
* DFD Feedback:
  + Level 0 DFD
    - Datastore names do not match ERD table names—it appears you only have one datastore called Client, but the ERD shows 3 tables
    - Data has gone to die in two of the datastores
    - Dataflows are supposed to be noun verb phrases—most of your dataflows are verb noun phrases
  + Level 1 DFD Process 3.0
    - The datastore names do not match the ERD table names
    - One arrowhead is not solid like all the others
    - You show that Employee receives data back from Process 3.0, but on the Level 0 DFD there is no return dataflow
    - You show that Process 3.0 sends data to all three datastores, but on Level 0 DFD it is only sending to one datastore
    - You show that the datastores send data back to Process 3.0, but on the Level 0 DFD there are no dataflows back to Process 3.0
* IPO chart – I am not sure is you have two processes listed or just one
* Nav diagram does not need the return arrows going to all the other menu items. The return arrows need to go back to the Initial Menu—otherwise you cannot get to the other menu choices—this is even the case if you have a static menu that you can click on from any page you are on
* ERD looks fine – DFD datastores do not match the ERD table names
* Sprint items and PSPI were fine
* Mr. O’Dor gave you all a 2.7/4 = 67.5%. You can see I gave you a 90%, **Remember**, he grades the SR&R 6 Presentation, I do not. Read his feedback and do his suggestions.